## A. P. No. 180/2007/SIC



## ORDER

The question that arises for consideration is whether the information furnished by the Public Information Officer is complete in all respect and is satisfactory?

The circumstances leading to the filing of a second appeal as contemplated u/s. 19(3) of the RTI Act could be briefly narrated as follows:—

The appellant Mr. K. Raveendran had preferred a request wis. 6 of the RTI Act before the Assistant Public Information Officer of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe Development Department, Scoreniral, Trivandrum. The request, in original, is marked as Ext. A1 and is reproduced herein under for the sake of convenience of analysis and correctness of the answers:

- "1. Why the Government did not consider it necessary to effect a revision of the list of Backward Classes as required in section 11 of the Act?
- Was the consent of the State Legislature obtained to continue the original list beyond 10th March, 2003?
- Was the Public Service Commission given any directions to carry out recruitment based on the original list needing revision of the list in accordance with Section 11 of the Act?
- 4. Has any proposals been received from the State Backward Classes Commission in respect of the revision of the State Backward list? If so what action was taken on such proposals?